Docket No.: FS.20125US0A March 7, 2005
Page 1 of 1

MAR 1 0 2005 6

## Please Direct All Correspondence to Customer Number 20995

## AMENDMENT / RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL

Ápplicants

Masanori Takahashi et al.

App. No

10/714,759

Filed

November 17, 2003

For

AIR INTAKE DEVICE FOR ENGINE

Examiner

John Kwon

Art Unit

3747

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

March 7, 2005 (Date)

Michael A. Guillana, Reg. No. 42,611

## Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application are the following enclosures:

- (X) Response to Restriction Requirement in 2 pages.
- (X) Return prepaid postcard.
- (X) Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Michael A. Guiliana Registration No. 42,611 Attorney of Record Customer No. 20,995 (949) 760-0404

1318933\_1 030705



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Masanori Takahashi et al.

Appl. No.: 10/714,759

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for

Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-

Michael A. Guiliana, Reg. No. 42,611

1450, on

Filed: November 17, 2003

For : AIR INTAKE DEVICE FOR

**ENGINE** 

Examiner: John T. Kwon

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT** 

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement dated February 8, 2005, Applicants elect Group II (Claims 9-20) for prosecution in the present application.

The present election is being made with traverse. Applicants submit that it is well established that:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

M.P.E.P. § 803 (emphasis added).

The non-elected Group is directed to a throttle body for an engine and the elected Group is drawn to an engine with an air metering device (such as the throttle body of Claim 1). A proper search for art related to the elected Group would necessarily include the classes and subclasses relevant to a search for the non-elected Group. Thus, examination of all the claims would not present "a serious burden" on the Examiner.

Appl. No.

: 10/714,759

Filed

•

November 17, 2003

Applicants therefore respectfully request that the present restriction requirement be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated:

By:

Michael A. Guiliana Registration No. 42,611 Attorney of Record Fourteenth Floor

2040 Main Street Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 760-0404

1302384\_1 030705